

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,731	09/12/2003	In Hee Han	9988.056.00-US 4916		
30827	7590 08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
	A LONG & ALDRIDG	LU, JIPING			
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3749		
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/660,731	HAN, IN HEE
Examiner	Art Unit
Jiping Lu	3749

	olbing Ed	0740	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 7,8,15-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the control of the con	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	•	, , ,	•
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
		~ <	
		STDIRE LU	
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3749	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitations in claims 1 and 7 raise new issues and need further consideration and search.